## **UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**

## **DISTRICT OF ARIZONA**

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA V.	ORDER OF DETENTION PENDING TRIAL
Miguel Angel Roldan-Ortega	Case Number: <u>09-6400M</u>
	3142(f), a detention hearing was held on August 28, 2009. Defendant was y a preponderance of the evidence the defendant is a flight risk and order the
I find by a preponderance of the evidence that:	FINDINGS OF FACT
The defendant is not a citizen of the U	United States or lawfully admitted for permanent residence.
The defendant, at the time of the char	ged offense, was in the United States illegally.
If released herein, the defendant factorized from Enforcement, placing him/her beyond or otherwise removed.	aces removal proceedings by the Bureau of Immigration and Customs the jurisdiction of this Court and the defendant has previously been deported
The defendant has no significant cont	acts in the United States or in the District of Arizona.
The defendant has no resources in the to assure his/her future appearance.	e United States from which he/she might make a bond reasonably calculated
The defendant has a prior criminal his	story.
The defendant lives/works in Mexico.	
The defendant is an amnesty application substantial family ties to Mexico.	ant but has no substantial ties in Arizona or in the United States and has
There is a record of prior failure to ap	pear in court as ordered.
The defendant attempted to evade law	w enforcement contact by fleeing from law enforcement.
The defendant is facing a maximum of	f years imprisonment.
at the time of the hearing in this matter, except as not	rial findings of the Pretrial Services Agency which were reviewed by the Cour ed in the record. DNCLUSIONS OF LAW
<ol> <li>There is a serious risk that the defence</li> <li>No condition or combination of condit</li> </ol>	lant will flee. ions will reasonably assure the appearance of the defendant as required. DNS REGARDING DETENTION
a corrections facility separate, to the extent practicable appeal. The defendant shall be afforded a reasonable of the United States or on request of an attorney for the defendant to the United States Marshal for the purpos APPEALS  IT IS ORDERED that should an appeal of this deliver a copy of the motion for review/reconsideration Court.	the Attorney General or his/her designated representative for confinement in from persons awaiting or serving sentences or being held in custody pending opportunity for private consultation with defense counsel. On order of a counce Government, the person in charge of the corrections facility shall deliver the e of an appearance in connection with a court proceeding.  AND THIRD PARTY RELEASE detention order be filed with the District Court, it is counsel's responsibility to Pretrial Services at least one day prior to the hearing set before the District a third party is to be considered, it is counsel's responsibility to notify Pretria
Services sufficiently in advance of the hearing before investigate the potential third party custodian.	the District Court to allow Pretrial Services an opportunity to interview and

DATED this 31st day of August, 2009.

David K. Duncan United States Magistrate Judge